

Consultation responses for service 32 proposed changes in Sheffield

Response ID	Preferred Option	General feedback
11	Option 1	
13	Option 2	
16	Option 2	
17	Option 1	Yet again residents of Foxhill and Parson Cross are set to lose out on bus services. Every time there is a consultation buses in the poorer parts of Sheffield take a hit. How about giving these areas a service like Crookes Lodge Moor and Ecclesfield . If we had that level of service buses would be used in these areas . However because the area comprises of mainly elderley and young families these areas don't get a fair deal because presumably they aren't profitable .. Then every time there is a review these areas lose out . Just leave the service as it is
18	Option 2	It would be even better to have considered Foxhill services in the round: 35/135, 86, M92 and 32. The 86 is a dreadful service (round-the-houses, non-clockface-timings), neither 35 or 135 going into town on Sundays. The 32 and M92 could be combined?
19	Option 1	So current users of 32 are no longer wanted in the centre of Sheffield by terminating and leaving from a shortened route in the centre and making school children walk through town to the Bus Station, not very safe at all.
20	Option 1	I am quite happy with the changes to the Foxhill end of the route, but extremely unhappy about the changes to the stops in town. I am disabled and find it hard to walk so when I have to visit the Foot Clinic on Norfolk Street, I find the bus stopping on Arundel Gate is just right. If this is moved to Angel Street, I will have to start using my car or using a taxi (which I cannot afford). It would also make it extremely hard for me to come into town for other reasons, please don't change this part of the route.
21	Option 1	Leave the service as it is. Elderly and disabled will find it a struggle to walk from the bottom of the moor to Angel street. I am registered disabled and work in the council. I catch this bus from my home to work every day and back again. If this bus was to change, it would effect my job. Ive changed my working hours numerous of times to suit the bus services. I can no longer do this. Leave the services alone.
22	Option 1	I use this bus every morning and evening travelling to city centre to work. I currently have to walk from Arundel Gate to Moorfoot council offices and back to Arundel Gate each evening to catch it. That said it is very reliable and much quicker than going home via Penistone Road! If the route was changed I would have still further to walk both ways than I do at present.
23	Option 1	I don't understand the logic of serving a wider area outside of town, to bring people into town, if the bus only serves one area of town which is quite a walk away from the shops. People won't use the bus if it doesn't take them to the places they need to get to in town. People will use other buses (such as 83, 86, 97, 98, 20...)
24	Option 1	Please do not change the route. It works perfectly fine as it is, why fox what isn't broken. A lot of the regular passengers on this route rely on the service as the majority of us work in the centre of town and down in The Moor. You will be effecting a lot of people's day to day work lives just to accommodate the odd persons shopping experience. There are a number of routes, 20, 83, 83a etc that accommodate such places as Asda etc. Please do not change! We have had far too many changes on this route and just when the routine gets settled, you change it again. Please leave it alone.
25	Option 2	I catch the 32 everyday to take the kids to school. We get on at Foxhill (Foxhill Road) and get off at Longley Ave West (Shirecliffe) The bus used to service Foxhill crescent before but this stopped. I would prefer it to come back into Foxhill Crescent has this makes it easier to get the kids to school. (we Live on Foxhill Crescent outside the bus Turning circle)
27	Option 1	
28	Option 1	I use this service everyday to get to and from work, it's quick and reliable, the only thing I would improve is more buses instead of one every hour
31	Option 1	
33	Option 1	
34	Option 1	Only thing that should change is to stop going into Killer Way
35	Option 1	
36	Option 1	
37	Option 1	Waste of time going on Kilner way
38	Option 1	
39	Option 1	
40	Option 1	The current route provides a valuable service to workers, shoppers and students.Without the last section, through Pond Street, a lot of people, including myself, would have to walk a fair distance to complete our journey. Convenient alternatives are few and far between and to lose this section of the journey would prove particularly difficult for me due to a long standing mobility issue.
41	Option 1	We've already had enough disruption to the service I this area
42	Option 2	
43	Option 1	I need it to stay the same because it leads me to the interchange so I can get a bus there to get to school.
44	Option 1	My daughter needs to get to the interchange to get a bus from there to go to school.
45	Option 1	My daughter needs to get to the interchange so she can get to school.
46	Option 1	This bus in my source of transport to work everyday. I catch the bus on Foxhill Road and it's totally going to cut my part of the route out, i'm not the only one who catches it there so this will missed by a few working people.
48	Option 1	
49	Option 1	I would be unable to walk up and down Angel Street to reach the part of the city centre (Fargate etc), due to health issues, and I do not have the money to pay for a taxi, so this would restrict my travel. I find the current timetable and route extremely convenient.
50	Option 2	
51	Option 1	
52	Option 1	If you go ahead with the new changes I will have to get 2 buses to and from work which makes my working day longer. Plus I know a lot of pensioners who use the market down the moor some of them can't walk all that way. Why is it when changes come around it is always this service is look at. Why play with something that is not broken.
53	Option 1	[Removed for GDPR] I use the original route daily and so do a lot of [Removed for GDPR] school children to get to Foxhill Primary school. I catch the bus in Deerlands Avenue and if the bus is taken off this route it would cause me major problems to get to work.
54	Option 1	There are many other buses on the proposed route 2 so why change a good bus route. The connection in Arundel Gate and interchange is important for many people traveling and shopping. Another upheaval in the bus service is unnecessary
55	Option 1	
56	Option 1	I am opting for OPTION 1, to leave the service as it is presently if nothing can be done to allow us in the shirecliffe axis enjoy a better service than it is currently. Taking a longer route option would make life more difficult as we presently have very limited options to bus other bus services. My 4year old walks for over 25minutes every morning to catch a bus to school and he finds this very tiring. We do not want to experience a more delayed service thank we currently do. Thanks you It would be great if adjustments in the nearest future looks into providing us buses that runs twice within the hour at least. Thanks
57	Option 1	People in Shirecliffe want to go to the moor for shopping. Forth park and Foxhill have other buses we onlyhave the 32. make our route shorter rather than longer
58	Option 1	I currently catch the bus at derwent house on Arundel Gate and get off at the bottom of Foxhill road so if the route changes then I wouldn't be able to catch it
59	Option 1	I won't be able to get to school on time
60	Option 2	prefer original route as P2. to serve Longley Estate better for elderly to access NGH, Asda and Foxhill Surgery.
61		as i cannot see the actual roads that the new route would travel, i am inclined to say stay as it is, I catch this bus every morning and evening as i work at the Northen General Hospital, i catch the bus in the morning from wordsworth avenue and then from the clock tower home again, i would find it very difficult to get to work if the 32 does not attend these stops as i am a disabled pass holder and would find it an inconvenience, this is the best bus around this area and it would be a shame to change it
62	Option 1	
63	Option 2	How about sending it to meadowhall 2?
64	Option 1	I use this service to get to work and home from work up to 6 times a week, the stop I always get off at is Arundel Gate. By changing this it would mean I have to work out a new service to use which will impact my work as I start at 8:15 every morning. From my experience, the 32 picks up a lot of passengers along Arundel Gate, ranging from school kids to older people. The only thing I would change would be to have more buses every hour instead of just one
65	Option 1	Keep it as it is, we need it as it is. This estate needs this bus.
66	Option 1	It was bad enough when they stopped the number 2 that went round passed Debenhams down to the bottom of the moor. That's what we want back. More stops in town, not less
67	Option 1	I have mobility issues and would have to get at least two buses to get to the places I need in town. Going into town via Hillsborough takes so much longer than my current route in the 32. It's disgusting that this is how you treat people who have used this service and the one before for so long.
68	Option 1	You often see the 32 waiting on Arundel Gate because it's arrived too early. Why can't that time be used to drop people nearer to the moor so that they can do their shopping?
69	Option 1	All that money spent on doing up the moor, but I won't be able to get there if the route changes
71	Option 2	Service 32 currently uses Cowper avenue so not sure what the "new" part of the proposal is. Maybe before starting the consultation you should make sure you have the correct information for the routes shown as there's no point having a consultation with incorrect information!
72	Option 1	
73	Option 1	
74	Option 1	This service works for many people and doesn't need changing
75	Option 1	
76	Option 1	

Consultation responses for service 32 proposed changes in Sheffield

Response ID	Preferred Option	General feedback
77		I catch the 32 bus to work everyday from shirecliffe, and the last bus home in the evening at Charles Street. If the proposed changes go ahead I will no longer be able to continue using this service as I would not make it to angel street in time, and would have to rely on another bus route adding an extra 40 minutes to my travel time.
78	Option 2	
79	Option 2	I agree with the route change. Also can you add an extra early morning service to Sheffield city centre starting approximately 1 hour earlier than the current timetable?? Thanks.
80	Option 1	This service is essential for me.
83	Option 1	I feel removing the service from the Interchange and Arundel Gate is not acceptable and would prefer the route as is.
84	Option 1	The route is fine as it is, serves a decent area/route, useful for older people wanting to get to local shops/areas and serves Foxhill well as is, as Cowper Avenue does not currently have any other services, where as Wilcox Road has 86 and 135
85	Option 1	The route the 32 is okie the way it is when it's on time everyone relies on the 32 on cookson road and kilner way me my self and other passages gets the bus every day
86	Option 1	I would like the 32 to stay the same route but to go to the bottom off the moor like it did before 32 is a handy little bus for all the passages what gets it
87	Option 1	
90		
91	Option 1	I think option 1 is a better idea as making changes will lead to chaos. I only get this bus because it drops me near to moor or Arundel Gate. And I know so many other people who also get that bus because of that, specially elderly people. I think you should also take them into consideration when making this crucial decision. Customers are the one who are keeping this bus going and if you change the route of Arundel Gate there won't be enough customers surely and it will be a big loss for everyone.
92	Option 1	Would prefer the bus to still go to pond st & back on Arundel gate so we can access the shops on the Moor easier
93	Option 1	The consultation map is so badly put together that it's almost impossible to work out where the new route would actually go - a separate map for each of the old route and new route with road names marked on would have been more helpful.
94		I think this service is great the way it is, it has improved a lot since it was the replacement for the P1/P2 It should stay the way it is as it is hardly ever late
95	Option 1	Changes to town centre route would mean it would not go as far as bus interchange nor Midland station which would not suit us
96	Option 2	
97	Option 1	This route from the start didnt need changing and i am going back when the P1/2 Were in service it didnt need a new route this service has always been the shuttle bus around parson cross and i dont think it should have been extended to the city centre as it is more often than not late so changing it may make it worse but thats just one persons opinion
98	Option 1	As a disabled person [Removed for GDPR] I regularly catch the 32 to: a) Kilned Way for intermittent shopping and socialising at COSTA, b) bottom of Fox Hill Road for midweek meetings at Christ Church, and c) ASDA for shopping. I also catch the bus [Removed for GDPR] to town several times per week to get to court [Removed for GDPR]. Not to have the service run along Cookson Road would severely interfere with my ability to do these things, as crossing the road to catch a bus, or walking a few yards is a lot easier than walking the quarter mile to Halifax Road to catch the service 7 or 8 in either direction, particularly when my ankle and knee are painful. Further, speaking to pensioners from the Binsted area who do not have access to this online consultation, the removal of this service from Cookson Road would make them virtual prisoners. I do hope sense will prevail and the service via Cookson Road will remain. [Removed for GDPR]
99	Option 1	Just a waste of time going on Kilmer way
103	Option 1	My family use the service between shirecliffe and town centre or interchange for a convenient connection. It is also easily accessible from Arundel gate and provides easy walking access to the moor market as the only bus from this area that does.
104	Option 1	
105	Option 1	Use get on and off the bus on Holgate Crescent, my husband rels on this bus to get about due to his disability and cannot walk well.
106	Option 1	We get on/off the bus on Holgate Crescent my husband rels on this bus to assist getting him about due to his disability.
107	Option 1	I've always used this bus for a long time, I'd prefer the route to stay as it is already.
108	Option 2	Removing the start and finish from the interchange is a good idea as few passengers start and alight their journeys here. Perhaps peak time timing points could be looked at for reliability purposes during the week.
109	Option 2	I do not think the changes go far enough. Instead of going along the Wicker and up Burngreave Road why not carry on down Snig Hill, through West Bar, along Penistone Road and up Rutland Road to join the existing route. Surely there are more than enough buses service Burgreave Road while not one single bus services Rutland Road.
110	Option 2	I believe that it would be prudent to run the 32 up Rutland road as there are sufficient services running up through burngreave and pitsmoor road areas. Whereas Rutland road has none it would help local businesses and the people living on the road like myself if not the 32 then another bus must be considered to run up Rutland road
111	Option 1	After the debacle of the bus changes in a previous November the route serving the City to Shirecliffe was altered to include Foxhill. This change also made the bus go to the new market. This was then curtailed to the start of Arundel Gate due to what I presume was insuffucient modelling to check the timetable would work and insure that the bus would be punctual. All this and now you want to stop the bus going anywhere near the new market. This would be a blatant reversal on all that was said when the changes were initially implemented for all services using the City Centre.. If people want a bus to serve Foxhill then you should make sure it will drop them off somewhere in central Sheffield and close to the market which does not mean Angel Street. A large percentage of people using this service rely on the Arundel Gate stops for shopping and work. Do not presume they have to ability to walk everywhere. This is a typical example of the new organisation between the council and bus companies working against what is working for the majority using a bus route.
112		The 32 should go up Rutland Road. There are currently no services on that road and it needs at least one. People struggle walking up, laden with heavy bags after shopping at Tesco on Infirmary Road. There are businesses with employees without transport who have to trudge up the hill. It is one of the steepest roads in Sheffield, yet there is no public transport. The regeneration of the Neepsend/Woodside area needs public transport for it to flourish.