

Consultation responses for the proposed changes in Kimberworth Park and surrounding areas

Response ID	Service 36	Service 135/136/137	Service 138	Service 139/140	Service 141/142	Service X78	Other	Other Service	Feedback 36	Feedback 135/136/137	Feedback 138	Feedback 139/140	Feedback 141/142	Feedback X78	Feedback Other	General feedback
41							Other - Write in	X1/X10							Why are you proposing to reduce the flagship X1 route by stealth from 6 buses per hour to 4 buses per hour between Sheffield and Rotherham? This should be added in too, rather than only reducing it through the timetable. Very unprofessional. Also what is the route between Meadowhall and Rotherham on the proposed X10? You should be encouraging more people to make use of the X1, rather than cutting it now the super dooper tram-train service has launched. Why not put it on the old former 69 route direct via the A6178 as people want to get from A to B as quickly as possible!	See previous comment regarding stealth cut to the X1 between Sheffield and Rotherham. Needs more buses to encourage usage. Try marketing the route and creating a timetable that works as it's the unreliability of the service that puts people off. It's more common to see 2 or 3 X1s in a row than running on time!
43		135/136/137				X78				The 135 takes longer than it used to from Chapeltown since it replaced the 66 service and is unreliable since it was extended to Sheffield. First discontinued the through route previously because of complaints about unreliability only to reinstate it. If service 136 is replacing the 227 the proposed service misses out part of the current 227 route and does not replace the later evening and Sunday services. How is that expected to generate further custom? Whilst I appreciate Thorpe Hesley residents benefit this is only at times during the day Mon-Sat. Would in not be better to run the old 227 service from Barnsley, Birdwell, Heyland etc as a limited stop service giving a through quick journey as no such exists.			X78 takes nearly an hour from Doncaster to Rotherham where as a car takes half that time. The service needs speeding up and converting to a true limited stop service. Either that or call it a standard 78.		The fact it is First in the daytime an TM travel evening and Sundays make it more expensive unless they accept each others tickets. Current set up deters new passengers.	
50	36					X78			This bus service should only run to Standon Road and should be every 30 mins to provide half hourly bus linking top Standon Road to City Centre. Meadowhall - Kimberworth should be replaced by a new service					Buses should be increased to every 12 mins and use an additional stop in Sheffield Centre, Flat Street and double deckers should be used on this service to cope with the capacity		
52							Other - Write in	227							The 06:55 227 route from Hoyland via Greasbrough to Rotherham provides me with a direct connection into Rotherham for me to be able to get to work for B&S. If I am reading the new 136 timetable via Thorpe Hesley correctly the first bus from Hoyland to Rotherham direct will not arrive until 8:45, meaning workers would have to find a different route using two buses or more or a train and a bus, costing a lot more for families in these times of financial struggle. The 227 also provided a convenience for my family and I to see extended family who live in Greasbrough particularly on a Sunday, when I attend Greasbrough WMC. If there is no Sunday service between Rotherham and Hoyland via Greasbrough for those wished to have an alcoholic drink, it could encourage those who do so to possibly use their cars. I hope not!	See my comments about the proposed replacement of the 227 service.
56	36	135/136/137	138	139/140	141/142	X78			I support the changes offered to service 36 as this provides good connections.	I support the changes, but would like to give consideration for at least one of the services to divert via Kimberworth Road to give an equal level of service to both sides of Ferham Park. This would also be beneficial as traffic congestion due to calming measures on Ferham Road can prove difficult for buses.	A much better link between Kimberworth Park and Kimberworth and I support the changes.	I support these changes.	I support these changes.	I am very supportive of the service increase and the decision to no longer serve Pitt Street and Deepdale Road. I would add that double deckers are required on this service as even with a 12-minute frequency, these are very busy services and demand outstrips capacity frequently.	The review is most welcome and should divert services to demand quite well, however I am concerned at the lack of service provision on the bottom half of Kimberworth Road and would like to see some inclusion of this in the final documentation.	
57		135/136/137								So basically if you live in Thorpe Hesley we are going from having a 66 service twice an hour and a 135 service once an hour, to just having the 135 and 136 once per hour. Thorpe Hesley must have the worst bus service in the whole of Rotherham. Buses are constantly late or just don't turn up at all and despite complaining, nothing is ever done. How do you think by changing the services again this will improve? Not everyone who lives in Thorpe Hesley can drive, there are lots of people that have to use buses for work (myself included), school, college and general shopping, including lots of elderly people who rely on them						The service to Thorpe Hesley is shocking and I don't think changing the route will make any improvement
58		135/136/137								Is there a direct route into Rotherham like the 66 or will it be though Ferham? Has consideration been given to the people who need to use the 66 route? What studies have been carried out in relation to the timings of these new services to fit around people who work? I work until 1735 some days and would struggle to get to the bus station for the 1742 so I have to wait about 30 minutes for the next service??? How much time have your route and timetable planners spent driving these new routes and at what times of day??						I strongly disagree with all the changes. The service you provide is already limited and you are proposing to limit it further.
59		135/136/137								Me and my family totally rely on the bus to get to work and for days out. As long as I can get to chapeltown easy with regular buses and my other half Sheffield we welcome any changes that make the service run to schedule because at the moment it's time keeping is appalling and it impacts on family and work life. There needs to be more than one an hour to chapeltown.						
61		135/136/137								It is totally unreliable and the changes you are suggesting are not an improvement in my opinion. Why not shorten the route? No one in their right mind would catch the bus from Sheffield interchange to Rotherham interchange. Shortening the route would massively improve the timings and maybe more people in the Thorpe Hesley/Scholes area would use the service. As Meadowhall is so near to Thorpe Hesley/Scholes, why not put on a circular service High Green, Chapeltown, Thorpe Hesley, Scholes, Rotherham interchange, Meadowhall interchange, Kimberworth? That would be a massive improvement and more people would be inclined to use the service.						
62		135/136/137								The problem in running such lengthy routes is reliability and regularity! Buses to Thorpe Hesley are very unreliable at the present time Eg. If the bus is only one per hour and it is late/misses it is a long time to wait until the next bus. Where / which route does the 136 take after reaching Wentworth and how long would the journey be from Thorpe Hesley back to Rotherham? I am pleased to see that there is still service 135 serving Chapeltown. It would be much better if this bus could run every 30 mins instead of one per hour. Suggestion 136 route to stay as 66 and run one per hour. Suggestion that the 135 route run via Chapelfield at all times. And be every 30 mins						I would like to see the buses service to Thorpe Hesley be more regular - A service to support Chapelfield Estate regular with early weekday mornings (6 till 9 am) and lastatime/ evening (4 till 7pm) regular. At least a bus per 20 to 30 minutes per hour. And be reliable. To consider the young people getting to and from schools and colleges at their appropriate times to and from Thorpe Hesley. To consider the elderly people in Thorpe Hesley who need weekly shops at the large supermarkets out off the village and rely on a bus service to get them 'close' to home without a 2 mile walk. To consider the community who commute to and from work via bus. Linking Rotherham & Chapeltown better with more regular service early morning. I am Hoping that First are not going to disappoint the community of Thorpe Hesley again.
63		135/136/137								Why does the 136 have to go through Ferham when 135 is doing that route it should be going up Wortley Road like current 66 service. Journey time is increased. Not at all happy with this.						136 should run up Wortley Road and not through Ferham. How often will it run? Also 135 should go to terminus on Chapelfield Road.
64		135/136/137								Taking the 66 you are no longer picking up on curlew						Absolutely terrible idea
66		135/136/137														Why do the routes have to be so long and why do they have to go to Sheffield ? The timetables are never kept to as the traffic is so busy and the buses cannot get through on time. I think the same will apply to buses running a far as Wentworth / Elsecar. Please think again about these changes.
67		135/136/137														The service we have at the moment is very erratic with many not on time and not arriving at all. The Hesley Lane and Bar Park area of our village has 3bus an hour which goes and comes from High Green and Sheffield ,the traffic is often very busy and buses are often late not good when there's only the 1 an hour. Why do these buses have this very long route ? Chapeltown and districts are served very well with buses to Sheffield without 1 from Rotherham via Thorpe Hesley. Thinking of the way the 135 route is often late I believe replacing the 66 with 136 to Wentworth/ Elsecar will result in the same thing happening with this route. Please think again about changing the route, in fact go back to the previous routes 36 and 66.
68						X78										The extra buses would be very beneficial.
69		135/136/137		139/140						Not enough connection to Sheffield network at this part of Rotherham we need faster more frequent service not reduced more complex route		Removal of service from Oaks lane reduces bus availability for users who live in walking distance to Oaks lane but on less frequent Worley Rd routes				Link kimberworth park service into Thorpe Hesley to improve integration of service
71		135/136/137								Nothing needs to be changed regarding Thorpe Hesley. Other than a few buses being late or not turning up I feel it works well						So if all buses to and from Thorpe Hesley are now going via Kimberworth and Ferham what happens to all the people that live below where the buses will turn off on Old Wortley Road? How will people get to the Superbowl or Drawbridge pub? A lot of people including people with mental/physical disabilities and elderly people use the 66 service solely for this purpose - do you expect them to walk from Winterhill School? Leave the 66 service as it is.
75		135/136/137														The proposed changes are not frequent enough, miss out a significant part of Thorpe Hesley. I appreciate the additions to Hoyland and Barnsley are what we have been lacking for some time. We are also missing the bus route we once had that stopped at Meadowhall enroute to Sheffield. There is a significant aging population in Thorpe Hesley who can't walk the distance you are expecting so are being penalised. The weekend service is poor! It does not start early enough.
76	36															How by lengthening of this service improve reliability? Other routes that this happened to result in 'dropped service' to catch up on the timings. This will result in less passengers because of unreliability and provide the excuse to withdraw non high profit routes.
77		135/136/137								Why does both 135 and 136 have go through Ferham. One should run continuously on Wortley Road as the current 66 does. Also both 136 and 135 should run via the terminus on Chapelfield Road as driver of 135 can forget to go to the terminus currently.						How often are 135 and 136 going to run? I have heard they are both going to be hourly, if this is to be the case they should run 30 minutes apart to ensure if one doesn't turn up (which is very frequent currently) you haven't got an hour to wait for the next. Also currently there is no service between 6.00 am and 7.00 am, could you rectify this with the new service. Can you also get the timings right as the current 135 7.02 from Chapelfield Road is late every day with no explanation for this (despite numerous emails and forms being sent to complain regarding this). Your current customer service is very poor.
78		135/136/137								The service to Thorpe Hesley is shocking at the minute, buses don't turn up, the timetables are confusing as we have the 66 & 135 & for where we live if we have to catch the 135 we then have a 15 minute walk home. After a certain time they change to TM travel which isn't great when you're trying to find bus times. We cannot catch a bus to chapeltown without walking to a stop 15 minutes away, which is not good especially for older customers, & as for trying to get to Meadowhall that is terrible. I can't see how the new service will improve things unless the buses are going to be more regular.						

Consultation responses for the proposed changes in Kimberworth Park and surrounding areas

Response ID	Service 36	Service 135/136/137	Service 138	Service 139/140	Service 141/142	Service X78	Other	Other Service	Feedback 36	Feedback 135/136/137	Feedback 138	Feedback 139/140	Feedback 141/142	Feedback X78	Feedback Other	General feedback	
79		135/136/137								The frequencies of the buses from Thorpe Hesley to Rotherham is found to decrease by one. And looking at the timetable, the appear to run within 10 minutes of each other. I do however like the routing to Wentworth and Hoyland, but more buses to Chapeltown would be appreciated as it is commonly used for train connections and one an hour is not the best time interval. I would have expected a higher frequency on a route that goes through quite a few major villages from Rotherham to Sheffield.						I like the new routings, but frequency of buses is a massive issue for me. I don't have the time to wait up to 30 minutes for a bus from Rotherham to Thorpe Hesley.	
80		135/136/137								No longer any service down Sandpiper Road & Raven Drive?? Making long walk to Chapelfields Road bus stop. Limited service to people living on lower area of Wortley Road. Longer route round Church St rather than straight up Wortley Road. Seems like Thorpe Hesley residents are being made to suffer for Sheffield commuters who already have a good service.							
81		135/136/137														Why can't there be a direct bus to Sheffield or Meadowhall from Thorpe Hesley?	
84		135/136/137								It will be a longer journey into rotherham						there will be no direct route on upper wortley road into rotherham. from looking at the map it appears I will have to get off at the stop before it goes via ferham.	
88		135/136/137								Looking at the 136 it looks like it has quite a bit of layover in Hoyland? I'm sure with slight re-routing around Elsecar but still serving most roads when coming out of Hoyland the bus could then continue to Cortonwood. Example when bus gets to Heritage centre turns left direct to Hoyland departs Hoyland picks up Cobcar area then onto Cortonwood? alternative shopping destination from Thorpe Hesley/Wentworth.							
91		135/136/137								Been a long time serving resident of Thorpe Hesley. Have over the years seen the demise in the bus service running to Thorpe. Think folks of Thorpe Hesley deserve a better service and this is the opportunity to do that. Can I propose that more frequently two a hour would not be asking to much. Many residents in Thorpe like me commute daily to Sheffield to our works. A great benefit to us would be a bus service to Meadowhall where we can link with the Interchange to catch another bus, train and the SuperTram. Please get some sort of service to Meadowhall which is desperately needed to help combat our isolation to a great link of other services. Regards							Been a long time serving resident of Thorpe Hesley. Have over the years seen the demise in the bus service running to Thorpe. Think folks of Thorpe Hesley deserve a better service and this is the opportunity to do that. Can I propose that more frequently two a hour would not be asking to much. Many residents in Thorpe like me commute daily to Sheffield to our works. A great benefit to us would be a bus service to Meadowhall where we can link with the Interchange to catch another bus, train and the SuperTram. Please get some sort of service to Meadowhall which is desperately needed to help combat our isolation to a great link of other services. Regards
92		135/136/137								These proposals are an improvement for Thorpe Hesley. However, it would have been good if the 35/135 could have remained on an even spacing on the Sheffield - Grenoside section, which is poorly served.							
93							Other - Write In	227							I use this bus to get to work, get off at Elsecar, get the train to Chapeltown, also use this bus to go to Wentworth to which I have done since I can remember, in the days it went to Barnsley. Also my daughter lives in Elsecar, so all you are doing is taking transport away that is vital to go to work, visit relatives and meet up with friends/family in Wentworth. It looks like I will be waiting around half hour for the next bus and traveling for longer to get somewhere that is only 10mins in a car. It seems you are doing nothing to encourage people to use public transport and help our carbon footprint.	Absolute disgusting on your part,	
94		135/136/137								For the love of God please don't do this. The first bus from Wentworth would now be 1 hour and 10 minutes later than the 227 is and there would be no evening bus, so I wouldn't be able to get home from work (it already takes me an hour and a half). The 227 already runs on the least frequent I've ever seen but reducing it even more to this would make it unworkable for pretty much everyone I know. I understand that it's not a hugely popular bus but those that use it rely on it. It's the only bus that goes anywhere near the area. Please revise the plans and add earlier and later buses.							
95	36			139/140	141/142				A very poor service that misses often are doesn't even show			This is a very good service but again misses often.	A very good service but doesn't really need to run via parigate as this puts more delay on the service at peak times.			There's no need to alter the current route some better tweaking of times would be better, a revamp of times as well could be looked at to make sure services run at times when people need them.	
96		135/136/137								136 should continue on Wortley Road and not go through Kimberworth and Ferham						Can't believe 136 doesn't start til 8.30 am. 135 only 3 buses between 6 and 8 am. What happens when it doesn't turn up, which a regular occurrence, we'll have to wait an hour for the next one. If 136 was running earlier so that there was a bus half hourly between 6 and 8 that would be more satisfactory. Timing of the 135 at 7.07 is not good, can't get into Rotherham in time for connections, needs to be earlier.	
97						X78								Would like to see more frequent and also this route needs more double decker buses as it is often overcrowded			
99		135/136/137								From looking at proposed time tables and routes still a poor service the people of thorpe esley are divided down the middle 70-80-90year old or disabled live on route 136 doctors route 135 and via versa it is too far to walk for elderly and disabled from one side of Thorpe Hesley and the other side of Thorpe Hesley no connection for Barnsley in this new system would be a total misad up mess!!I've spent too many lost time waiting for invisible buses at the park gates in chapeltown missed ou t buses try waiting there for another hour in rain and cold!!!!							
100		135/136/137								Dear Sir/Madam, The proposed timetable changes to the 227's route are unworkable for my children. They need to get to college in the morning but the first service would run much later and they wouldn't be able to arrive on time. Please take another look and consider my family and others like ourselves by running an earlier bus for the 136. Yours sincerely, Miss. Grant							
101		135/136/137								You propose to for the 135 to arrive at Chapelfield Road at 7:07, five minutes later than it currently runs with an arrival time in Rotherham at 7.30 am. The current 135 doesn't get into Rotherham for 7.30 am even when it is on time. You need to re-look at your timings as this will not work.						We need a bus half hourly between 6 and 8 am with more realistic timings with respect to the time the bus arrives in Rotherham. 20 minutes for the journey time may work during the day but in the morning this is totally unrealistic. Also either 135 or 136 needs to run along Wortley Road and not through Ferham	
103		135/136/137								no evening bus????							
104			138	139/140							1 bus every half hour is not a good idea along Kimberworth Park Road. A lot of people rely on a regular bus and you can't expect them to walk up and down Wheatley Road or On Close Hill to get on and off buses. There is a school, doctors surgery, dentists along Kimberworth park road that will need a regular service.	Why take this bus service away from kimberworth park road? Roughwood Road already has a regular bus service so why put these services onto there too? A lot of elderly people rely on these buses.				They need a total re-think. Why should the people who live around Kimberworth Park Road only have 2 buses an hour when Roughwood road gets 4 services with possibly 10 buses an hour? There are just as many people living at the top of the Kimberworth Park estate that also rely on a regular bus service. Do you really expect elderly people to be walking up and down Wheatley Road to catch buses to Rotherham? There is a school, doctors surgery, dentists and shops that a lot of people use and need access to it.	
105		135/136/137	138							I use the 138 from Bents Rd. to town an back to get to a blind centre used by over 600 people in Rotherham. these changes will affect every 1 of us, including myself. its taken me a long time to learn my routes to an from my stops. an the times too. will you please think of all the Blind uses of the buses. there only way if getting out an about. thank you.							
107		135/136/137								I live in Thorpe Hesley & rely on the bus service on Brook Hill. A route link with Chapeltown and Wentworth will be really useful as well s being able to get to Meadowhall via Rotherham.						Buses to and from Thorpe Hesley should be no less frequent than every half hour.	
108						X78								One of the worst and most unreliable services		More buses is more good	
109						X78								Additional buses is a great idea 6173			
110						X78								More buses should help the service be more reliable and stop overcrowding at busy times			
112							Other - Write In	227								the 135/136 map doesnt cover Elsecar and how will we get to work when the 7 am bus no longer is running	
114					141/142								The fact that this service will no longer be running along wagon road will cause me severe issues getting my son to childcare in the morning - I get on one bus, take him to childcare then get on the next one to work. The wagon road walk with a 3 year old at 8am is not speedy!			This service always struggles with buses turning up on time, if at all. I am against removing wagon road off the route. However, I would prefer a more realistic schedule to allow buses to travel the route on time.	
116		135/136/137								Thorpe Hesley bus service needs improving. I have to set off an hour earlier than if I was taken by car as it is and am still late because of the poor, unreliable service, putting my job at risk. I will be unable to hold my job down if services are made even worse. How early would I have to set off if the service was even worse? I have to allow for the possibility (which happens frequently) of missing buses (up to 3 at a time) or late buses.						The changes will have a massive effect on people being able to work if they have to rely on buses	
117		135/136/137								I can not believe that you are proposing this journey to be longer, and the fact large bus's will be going through Wentworth. There is enough traffic going through Wentworth without large bus's giving off fumes, destroying the historical buildings and wildlife						Shameful that you would take the 227 of and replace with larger bus's to go through an historical village	
118							Other - Write In	227							I live in Elsecar and visit my family in Greasbrough, taking this service away will stop me visiting my family. To replace it with a bus that will take longer, but also having to catch another bus when I get to Rotherham to get to Greasbrough. Why would make catching public transport more difficult, where is the deterrent from using a car in your changes.	Just think you are not thinking about people that do not drive, it is supposed to be 2018.	

Consultation responses for the proposed changes in Kimberworth Park and surrounding areas

Response ID	Service 36	Service 135/136/137	Service 138	Service 139/140	Service 141/142	Service X78	Other	Other Service	Feedback 36	Feedback 135/136/137	Feedback 138	Feedback 139/140	Feedback 141/142	Feedback X78	Feedback Other	General feedback	
119		135/136/137								I live in Thorpe Hesley and have to be in town no later than 08.30. There appears to be no bus to get me there apart from 07:07 which is clearly far to early. There seems to be more buses throughout the day than at times to get people to work. Surely this is priority, for most people getting to work is a necessity. At the moment I go for the 07:47 66, but this sometimes doesn't turn up and I get the 17 or 18 minute past and are just a little late (still not acceptable). Sometimes these don't turn up either, I have been know to wait 70 minutes at the bus stop and been very later to work. what will happen when the new buses don't turn up?? We will lose our jobs!!!						I feel the current derive is unacceptable and needs improving to make sure people can keep their jobs.	
121	36	135/136/137	138	139/140	141/142	X78	Other - Write In	227	Sensible to extend through to Rotherham. 3 buses each on a 3-hour cycle. Gives additional links to Rotherham for many areas, particularly in the evenings and on Sundays.	Complicated operation with through running onto various other routes in Sheffield. In Rotherham, the 137 is probably the strongest route of this group. Note that there is a very long layover at Heyland on the 136 of about 20-minutes.	This looks a sensible option. Appears to be interworked with the 114 to Stag. Meaning the two routes can be operated with 3 buses, each on a 90-minute cycle time. The areas served will also have other links into Rotherham, with Service 36.	Looks as if the journey times are reduced by taking out College Road and Beckett Crescent, enabling he 139-142 to be run with 5 buses?	Looks as if the journey times are reduced by taking out College Road and Beckett Crescent, enabling he 139-142 to be run with 5 buses?	Good to see this service returning to a higher frequency. This was built up as the main route between Sheffield and Rotherham, then it was scaled back when the X1 came in.	Service 227 partially replaced by the 136..... Note that it is NETHER HAUGH (NOT NETHER HAGUE) that will be unserved. Other parts of the consultation contain incorrect references - e.g. the X10 will serve MIDDLE LANE SOUTH (NOT MOOR LANE SOUTH - that is in Ravenfield).	Can see the need to reduce vehicle requirements in the area. A streamlined 139-142 leads to knock on changes for the other routes. Interworking the 138 with the 114 looks a good use of resources.	
122	36				141/142				Need the same frequency. People getting to school, work, college etc.					Need the same frequency, people getting to college, work, school, etc. Make the buses on time.			
124		135/136/137								This does not look like it will be accessing Elsecar. What is going to replace this service for people to get to work in Rotherham?						There is no proposed service to access Elsecar. How do we who works in Rotherham supposed to get to work? This is the worst proposal ever. I know everything now days needs to work economically but find a much better route that serves all area affected by this proposal.	
126	36		139/140	141/142	X78				Better if it goes from Rotherham and follow same route towards Meadowhall/Sheffield			Not so sure on frequency change depends on the change of time	Not so sure on frequency change depends on the change of time	Looks no change		Make better punctuality to service as tends to not show up which provides disappointing service. And not hike price of buses further than already; costs too much for singles and also weeks passes compared to service ratio	
127							Other - Write In	227							I would like to see this service back with stagecoach		
128		135/136/137								There are no timings shown on the timetable for Elsecar and no route shown on the Map above - is the route the same? Anyone who lives in Elsecar/Heyland who works outside of Rotherham Centre 9 till 5 has a choice of one bus in a morning and one at night - the one at 17:47 is also the last one that services Elsecar/Heyland. There needs to be an extra earlier service and an extra later one as most people cannot just amend their working hours to suit The lack of Sunday service is also quite a concern as I use this regularly							
129						X78								We need double deckers and an increase of every ten mins for a bus. Its a vital service and is currently struggling at every 15 mins.		We need the X78 to stay off South Street as the parking there told absolute carnage. Meadowbank Road is where this bus should run.	
130	36								The 36route looks very long and potentially unreliable. People need reliable services on potter hill into Rotherham I think this is potentially a service that will later be cut.							I prefer the reliable 227 route especially the early morning route to get to the train station as the 139 and 142 services are often late and missing TM travel is more reliable than first transport	
131						X78								unreliable!		a 12 minute service would be marvellous but only if they are 12 minutes apart - not 5 an hour altogether. I use the X78 in Sheffield, the only bus going to Newhall, service is not great at the moment.	
132	36	135/136/137		139/140	141/142				Map unclear	Map unclear to where going		Unclear busroute	Is there no buses going down wagon road?			Very unclear and will leave a lot of workers children and pensioners without a nearby bus service if not servicing wagon road mumsbrough	
134		135/136/137				X78				Will the bus no longer be serving wortley road???				Is the x78 still going to be serving meadowbank ???			
136	36			139/140	141/142	X78	Other - Write In	x1	Back to every 1/2 hour Show up To run on time					Show up To run on time	X1 To show up To run on time		
137			139/140	141/142								Absolutely not. Many children attending Redescope primary school travel to and from school on the 139/140. If it no longer travels along kimberworth Park Road then they will no longer be able to get to school other than by car. Kimberworth park Road is already horrendous at school times, changing the route will only make it worse.	Absolutely not. Many children attending Redescope primary school travel to and from school on the 139/140. If it no longer travels along kimberworth Park Road then they will no longer be able to get to school other than by car. Kimberworth park Road is already horrendous at school times, changing the route will only make it worse.				
138					141/142									Keeps missing			
140		135/136/137								You've taken off the 66 but the journey to Thorpe Hesley is ridiculous and you are hindering young people in the area going to college and encouraging additional vehicles on the roads						The frequency isnt adequate at key times of the day	
141		135/136/137					Other - Write In	66								I feel as though my travel too and from town will be hindered due to these changes and I don't feel as though they are better for the area as the 66 is the main bus too and from town and this is making it 1/3 efficient for me and other people who use the bus on a frequent basis!	
142		135/136/137							We really need a bus from Thorpe Hesley that goes straight to Meadowhall.							Buses need to be more frequent especially when it gets later on at night.	
144		135/136/137							This looks ok providing that the buses run roughly when they do now							The most annoying thing about the current service is that if your bus does not turn up when it should you have no way of knowing. Please can you think about some kind of system at a bus stop so that you can find out eg electronic sign etc	
150			139/140													According to the timetables they will be no 140/139 past 6.30pm at night, meaning anyone at the top end of the oaks Lane area will need to walk to the 141/142 stops, this is a terrible idea for disabled people and young women who will now need to travel the extra length to get a bus, wouldn't it be more sensible to run one bus along top roads either 139 or a 140 instead of two buses along bottom roads. It really baffles me how you came up with such a bad idea, it really take a whole class of stupid to come up with that planned route change.	
151		135/136/137		139/140						Will the times be changing for the 135 and 66 from Upper Wortley road/oaks lane? also, same for 139 and 140 from oaks lane - both during the morning.							
154		135/136/137								Absolutely rubbish, buses are bad enough already, always late or missing with the 66 and 135 definitely don't need anymore changes to this bus route. Sick to what we've got already just get used to one n you change it again.						Absolutely rubbish, buses are bad enough already with out more changes.	
155			139/140	141/142								Difficult to see changes on map		Same .Does not need changing only needs buses to actually turn up. Due to missing buses you have already reduced frequency		Changes never seem to improve services. X10 and x78 don't serve this area so why should our services be reduced to pay for these?	
157		135/136/137								I think that the timetable is very confusing. There is no pattern to early morning or late afternoon bus times, whereas the 138 has a distinct pattern. Why should the passengers on this route have to "accommodate" changes to 135 etc? Also, there will be a gap of 2 hours and 20 minutes between the first 2 buses from town - 6.20 am and then 8.40 am unless it is school holidays. That will mean people working nights such as nurses etc will not be able to get home without a long wait or paying for taxis. However has put this timetable together has not thought how this will effect passengers at all. Please go back to the drawing board - we deserve a decent, reliable and easy to understand bus service.						Totally confusing. Does not meet the needs of passengers in some areas, but then other areas benefit greatly.	
158		135/136/137								The proposed timetables for 135 do not show a Sunday service will this still be the same as the current 135a or is the Sunday service being withdrawn completely? Needs to be made clear before you ask for views, obviously we don't want to lose the buses on a Sunday.						Don't use this route regularly enough to make comments.	
159							Other - Write In	About putting a bus back on Deepdale Road kimberworth as we don't have one and a lot of people are house bond as can't walk down on meadow bank road							Are bus 22m was taken off for no reason and you put x78 on then off then on then off a lot of disable and old people live on this est and don't have a bus on this route so don't go out now because of this we would like one a hour this would be fine for all but we need somethink on this route a lot of people have write in also write to mp but just says they will look when bus station opens	You don't say anything for kimberworth only other side only kimberworth park	
160	36		139/140	141/142					This bus already frequently runs late, extending the service to Rotherham will not improve this. When planning a route do you actually consider local traffic issues or simply stick pins in a map?? The service will become stuck in the heavy traffic in and around Greasbrough.		It is a disgrace this service is being reduced to half hourly and totally removed in the evening and sundays. During the evening commute this service already fails to run daily. During peak times these services are full as it is, reducing the service will not help. Perhaps you should leave your office and try the poor service you already provide before planning to cut this service further	Is the aim of these changes to add more car journeys to the roads?? Seem like you would happily cut services in working class areas so you can focus on the student cash cows in Sheffield. I guarantee not one person proposing these changes has tried travelling on the service you already supposedly provide on these routes. Try using the poor service already in place before you look to cut it further. Buses already frequently fail to turn up, run 10+ minutes late etc etc.		Poorly thought out plan by people who clearly have no concept about how poor the service already is. Try using the 139,140,141,142 routes to travel to work as it is. Mixed buses, constantly running late etc. This is going to cause passenger numbers to fall even more and create more car journeys			
161		135/136/137								The changes will greatly affect people going to Wentworth. For instance getting to Wentworth Woodhouse as a volunteer or for events will be seriously curtailed and mean lots of time wasted in waiting or walking from Rockingham Arms. Service 227 changes are not included in the information given. Why? Also the last bus from Rotherham seems unreasonably early. A bus departing after 6.00 pm would be more acceptable. As a frequent user of the service to Wentworth I do not find the changes acceptable.							

Consultation responses for the proposed changes in Kimberworth Park and surrounding areas

Response ID	Service 36	Service 135/136/137	Service 138	Service 139/140	Service 141/142	Service X78	Other	Other Service	Feedback 36	Feedback 135/136/137	Feedback 138	Feedback 139/140	Feedback 141/142	Feedback X78	Feedback Other	General feedback
163				139/140								I go on both these buses almost daily. Almost every time I'm on these buses it's full, these changes are going to cause problems for many people, students like myself or people in this area that can't afford a car. Additionally it will lead to an increase in carbon emissions due to more people being forced to drive in particular parents taking children to redscope school and other primary schools in this area.I personally find these changes ridiculous and I'm not the only one many people in my local community have talked to me about these disgusting changes and think that the buses how they are now are fine, these changes are simply not needed. Personally I am disabled and cannot drive a car and will find it difficult to get my self to this new bus route. If cuts are truly needed then just reduce the frequency of the buses although this will cause some issues for people it will be better than these proposed new bus routes.				
164		135/136/137								Not frequent enough now and looks like will take longer to get to and from Rotherham						Concerned over time taken to get to and from Rotherham
165						X78								5 buses an hour is excellent, but at 4 each hour they don't turn up and leave people stood at the bus stop for 40 mins or more waiting for the next one. Drivers wont pick up or drop off at the Pembroke street stop because of no bus stop sign when it is clearly marked on the road as a bus stop.		
166					141/142							These serve the Mundbrough area which contain lots of pensioners and working parents dropping off at childminders. The infrequency proposals also affect students travelling to Wingfield Academy.				Are these buses going from Mundbrough past Wingfield Academy? If not will affect a considerable number of students. They could walk but Fenton Road has already claimed several young lives.
167				139/140								It should stay the same				Should stay as it is
169		135/136/137														Please alter the 137 after 12 o clock so all buses are due at same time. Gives parents a better chance to drop kids off at school and catch bus into town. At the moment the bus is due at 12-17 and kids start school at 12-15 so we miss the bus most of the time but if it was due at 20 past 12 like the morning buses are changing to would make it easier to catch the bus so more people would be willing to catch the bus
172	36								This service needs addressing. It is already far too infrequent and is totally unreliable. If only one bus I'm trying to get on doesn't turn up in a week then its been a pretty good week all in all. It either never turns up, is taken out of service in Sheffield at a peak time (generally 17.25) or is just incredibly late so often that it is not fit for purpose.							36 needs addressing.
174						X78								could start earlier on a saturday		
175							Other - Write In	227								I am extremely angry at the proposed loss of service to Nether Haugh. My teenage daughter uses the service to get to and from work and my son uses it to be able to meet with his friends. Many young people, like my daughter, from Nether Haugh and villages around Cortworth lane, Street etc find work at places such as the garden centre etc in Wentworth. The loss of this service would deny them the ability to work. There are many retired people who live here who will not be able to walk uphill for 25 minutes to Rawmarsh to the nearest bus. (which will even then not take them to Greasbrough for the doctors or Wentworth for senior citizen s groups)We would all be cut off from Wentworth which is part of the parish. Furthermore people in both Nether Haugh and Wentworth are served by the doctors surgery in Greasbrough which would be inaccessible to them and a risk to their health. This shows a complete lack of understanding of the type of community living in Nether Haugh and the links that they have to Wentworth. There has also been no consideration for young people who need to have transport to college or work. Nether Haugh has a mixed community, the vast majority of whom are in modest rented accommodation and many of whom are elderly. For example 3 of my retired neighbours and one person with special needs I am aware of in the village are completely reliant on the 227 bus. It seems that this decision has been made on incorrect assumptions that it is an affluent area with no need for public transport and that is simply not true. I urge you to reconsider this unacceptable proposal which is negligent towards vulnerable members of the community (many of whom will be unaware of the proposed changes) not computer literate and therefore unable to object) and cuts us off from vital services and also the hub of our community which is in Wentworth.
177		135/136/137								If as I understand the buses are still only every 30 mins so I don't see it as an improvement on the old 66. Which by the way is already the worst bus service in Rotherham and the most expensive. It costs £3.00 into Rotherham from the Jnct of Wortley Road and Watson Road approx 1.5 miles.						
178				138							It looks like the bus that will replace the 66 is the 138. Which will still only be every 30 mins which will not improve the bus service at all and I suspect it will still be the most expensive in Rotherham at a cost of £3.00 from the jnct. of Wortley Road / Watson Road approx 1.5 miles. I also suspect that the bus will be full by the time it gets to our stop. So I definitely don't see it as an improvement					My comments are as before
180		135/136/137								As a pensioner and a long term resident who does not drive we rely heavily on the bus service in Thorpe Hesley. Over the years seen the reduction and the diabolical service in the services running to Rotherham, Chapeltown and Sheffield which has had massive impact on the people of Thorpe Hesley. Our link to Rotherham & Chapeltown need to be at least 2 a hour please. We have no service to Meadowhall interchange which by car takes 10mins at the most. This is a great opportunity to make some sort of link to Meadowhall be it a 1 a hour service which would be a great asset to the people of Thorpe who I know of commute daily by car to Meadowhall Interchange. It would be great benefit to all residents a bus service to Meadowhall interchange where we can link with more buses, trains and the SuperTram. Please get some sort of service to Meadowhall interchange which we desperately needed here in Thorpe Hesley.						As a pensioner and a long term resident who does not drive we rely heavily on the bus service in Thorpe Hesley. Over the years seen the reduction and the diabolical service in the services running to Rotherham, Chapeltown and Sheffield which has had massive impact on the people of Thorpe Hesley. Our link to Rotherham & Chapeltown need to be at least 2 a hour please. We have no service to Meadowhall interchange which by car takes 10mins at the most. This is a great opportunity to make some sort of link to Meadowhall be it a 1 a hour, which would be a great asset to the people of Thorpe who I know of commute daily by car to Meadowhall Interchange. It would be great benefit to all residents a bus service to Meadowhall Interchange where we can link with more buses, trains and the SuperTram. Please get some sort of service to Meadowhall interchange which we desperately needed here in Thorpe Hesley.
182			139/140		141/142								Will be a better route however the route that it currently operates they do not turn up on time. They either sometimes miss out completely or they turn up late.	The same is for this service. It will operate a better route however the route that it currently operates they do not turn up on time. They either sometimes miss out completely or they turn up late.		Better routes have been proposed
185							Other - Write In	227								The 227 is the only bus route that serves Nether Haugh. Please, note in your consultation document you have misspelt the name of our village (Hague). Without this bus route residents have no public transport that links us with the wider Rotherham community. We have, therefore, no access to Greasbrough, Rotherham or Hoyland or any of the connecting services. This will leave residents isolated and unable to shop, attend doctors, dental or hospital appointments etc. I am, therefore, strongly objecting to the proposal to cease the 227 services and wish it to continue.
187						X78								I think it's good that you are increasing the frequency but can you also provide double deckers as these services are always packed in peak times		
190				139/140	141/142											Can u give a more specific route for 139/140/141/142
199		135/136/137								These bus services are an absolute joke obviously devised by some pen pusher in an office who drives to work and never catches a bus. One an hour is rubbish the service is bad enough now with buses not turning up or late God only knows what they will be like in February. Myself and members of my family work shifts, will we be able to get a bus back to Thorpe in the evening because if we have to resort to taxis it will be too expensive to go to work. But you don't care what the public think or need, you just keep messing the service up and increasing fares, a great way to get cars off the road and increase bus passengers that is!!!!						
200		135/136/137								The 66 is changing to 136. I catch the 66 at 8.52am to get to Rotherham at 9.05am. Stop number 37020884. You are going to move it forward to 9.05am at my stop and take a longer route into town via kimberworth. So I will get into Rotherham at the later time of 9.20am and be late getting to work. The bus half an hour earlier is always too busy and filled by students. Standing room only when it gets to my stop. I don't mind that the bus will be coming by Wentworth. A few years ago you changed the route so it started in Sheffield but it was always late and unreliable. You are changing the 66 route so that it turns off wortley road via kimberworth, on the same route as the 135. So if you get on a bus in Thorpe hesley you cannot get a bus that goes directly to Rotherham by wortley road. If you want to get off further along wortley road you will not be able to. You will have to get off just past the coop and walk the rest of the way!						
201		135/136/137														You are changing the 66 to 136. The times have changed. It will arrive at my stop much later and go a longer route. I will get into Rotherham later and be late for work. You are changing the route so that it won't travel along wortley road. If you get on a bus at Thorpe hesley and want to get off along wortley road you will have to get off before it turns off upper wortley road and walk the rest of the way.

Consultation responses for the proposed changes in Kimberworth Park and surrounding areas

Response ID	Service 36	Service 135/136/137	Service 138	Service 139/140	Service 141/142	Service X78	Other	Other Service	Feedback 35	Feedback 135/136/137	Feedback 138	Feedback 139/140	Feedback 141/142	Feedback X78	Feedback Other	General feedback
202		135/136/137		139/140	141/142					The proposed 136 isn't an acceptable replacement for the 227 as it results in the complete withdrawal of the Greasbrough - Wentworth link. The alternative option of travelling via Rotherham will increase the journey time from 8 minutes to at least 45 minutes! The 227 is also popular also popular for leisure travel at weekends but Wentworth will now have no Sunday service at all which I think is unreasonable.		The more direct route in Madsbrough and Kimberworth Park is a welcome improvement and should compensate for the reduced frequency.		The more direct route in Madsbrough and Munsbrough is a welcome improvement. However, owing to the chronic traffic congestion in Parigate the timetable often becomes academic and renders these routes useless in the afternoon period. At times of particularly serious congestion, the 141/142 are sometimes diverted to avoid Parigate (and the 22a/22c are diverted via Mangham Road) but this is only publicised at short notice on Twitter Why not make this arrangement official at times which are always busy, such as late afternoon? Alternatively a shuttle bus could also be provided at these times between Rotherham, Mangham Road and Parigate Shopping. This would surely be more efficient than having multiple buses stuck in stationary traffic for long periods.		
204		135/136/137								Not happy with changes						66 changing to 136. From thorpe hesley to rotherham. Less buses per hour. Times changing and route. My 8.52am bus at hesley grange 37030884 won't come until 9.05am and is going a longer route via ferham. I will get into rotherham 15 minutes later. I will be late for my next bus and late to work. If I am forced to catch a bus half an hour earlier around 8.30am this bus is already full with students by the time it gets to my stop 37030884 and gets very overcrowded. It will need double decker buses on this route. Good idea linking 136 to Wentworth. Bad idea linking 135 to Sheffield bus station. This happened a few years ago and the service became very late and unreliable. If I catch the 135 or 136 in thorpe hesley I will not be able to get off on wortley road any more as you are going to send them both by ferham road. I will only be able to go as far as the big coop and walk the rest of the way or get off and wait half an hour for the 138. Bad idea.
206		135/136/137								This is the only direct bus to Chapeltown and Sheffield and vital to young people from the Thorpe area going to schools in Ecclefield and Sheffield						I acknowledge this route not reliable but it is better than nothing.
208							Other - Write In	227								
210		135/136/137								I would prefer the 136 to remain as is, since the changes will make the journey longer into Rotherham.						I would prefer the 136 to run into forge island.
217		135/136/137								please can we have at least 2 an hour to chapeltown [135]so we don't have an hour to wait when one doesn't turn up as is the situation now						
219	36	135/136/137		139/140					This service has been changed so many times over the years, originally being a half hourly service for all Kimberworth park to Meadowhall. It is at present an hourly service with many steep area's covered very often by inferior vehicles which have been known to break down leaving passengers a long walk home or even have buses cancelled leaving passengers to wait yet another hour for a bus or take another indirect route home. I cannot see that taking this on an even longer route into Rotherham will improve the service.	having the 227 replaced on a totally different route stops any service through Greasbrough which is used often by pensioners for a trip out to Wentworth		stopping the service going up Oaks Lane takes away the transport link to both shops and post office on Oaks Lane and St Johns Green from older and disabled people who are not able to walk up from Roughwood Road to the shops, or leaving them with only an hourly bus [36] to catch on the route. Taking the route down Wingfield Road has been tried before and this was changed back as it was not successful. Reducing the service is okay but not at peak work times. Since the bus depot in Rotherham was closed the bus service has become very unreliable with buses missing from the schedules with the excuse given that there is a shortage of drivers.				
220					141/142								This is a good service I use it often to get to my grandads flat in wingfield if the buses are going to be less frequent it means it takes longer for me to get there and then I have less time to spend with him			I dont like that your changing the frequency as we already mentioned I use this service to get to my grandads and if they are less frequent the buses it means I wont spend as much time with my grandad who lives on his own
221						X78								Lack of service pitt street / deepdale rd Kimberworth - request for service		Lack of service - request for service I am definitely very disappointed frustrated for not having a bus route on our road we just want a simple route from meadowhall to town an from town to meadowhall. Dear sir/madam I am really let down because we dont have any bus going on [Removed for GDPR] pitt street / deepdale rd Kimberworth I am disable my self have sever mobility issues I struggle so much getting to meadow bank road its not only me its the elderly people living on deepdale rd an penbroke street have become house bound because they can't walk it all the way down to a bus stop just like my self please do something for us we are really struggling we would to have good feed back I would really appreciate it [Removed for GDPR]
223	36			139/140	141/142				How often does this bus run			Dependent on how often the No 36 bus will be by stopping the 139/140 bus from covering the top of Kimberworth Park, I might not be able to get to work by public transport	When will we know what the changes to the timetable are as I rely on an early bus to get me to work on time at Parigate Retail World			You close the interchange for nearly a year then want to change routes and frequency of bus service's are you trying to lose all your customers or just those who rely on public transport regularly
228				139/140												
229		135/136/137	138	139/140						This is going severely affect all the elderly and disabled people who live in the area and rely on the buses that come along the route.	This is going to affect everybody living here as there is only one bus along this route and if it is not running the nearest bus is St Johns Green and those services are also affected. My husband is disabled and cannot walk that far to reach the bus as well as the large number of elderly that live close by	rubbish	This service runs along Kimberworth park rd and services the school there so everyone who uses the bus to commute to school will be affected			I think the changes are ridiculous as the services along this route have disrupted many times in last few years having gone from having 3 buses an hour to a single bus an hour and if the bus misses that's 2 hours without a bus and many elderly live in the area
234	36			139/140	141/142				This is replacing 139 for Rockingham and Greasbrough but takes longer to get to town and has severely reduced frequency.			No evening service.	Not enough buses in the evening.			Have Greasbrough and Rockingham fallen off the map? Is it more important to provide a service to Sheffield? he thought for the people who live here.
237							Other - Write In	227							I am appalled that you are replacing Service 227 with Service 136. I live in Greasbrough and use this bus on most days. I travel to Wentworth, Elsecar and Hoyland. I do my shopping in Hoyland, walk around the Wentworth area, visit the garden centre at Wentworth and also the Elsecar Heritage Centre. PLEASE do not get rid of the 227 bus!	Service 227 - This must be a devastating change to the people of Wentworth, Elsecar and Hoyland, who wish to visit Rotherham. IT CANNOT BE GOOD FOR ROTHERHAM SHOPS. People will go to Barnsley instead!!
239		135/136/137								I am very concerned about the cancellation of the 227 Bus Route. This is the only service linking the top of Rawmarsh/Mansir Farm and the new estates to Wentworth/Barnsley. My wife relies on this to get to work, and will be unable to keep her job without it. It's not like it's being replaced, the route is being erased. In 2018 you would think public transport would be even better, but it seems to be getting worse. I don't see how you can cut all links from Rotherham/Rawmarsh to Wentworth/Barnsley, without an alternative. I fear you are going to isolate a lot of elderly people who rely on that service to get to an from the villages. In summary, this is a part rural route, and of course it not going to be a sell out on every journey. However, let's not forget it is a public service as well as a business, And no matter how trivial in the grand scheme of things that little route seems. It will have a direct personal effect on the lives of the people that need it. The public need that service to remain. I will be writing directly to MP John Healy re this matter, as I know Wentworth is important to him also.					As previous, the public need the 227 Rotherham to Barnsley, as it links isolated villages, and is the only route from The top estates of Rawmarsh to Wentworth/Elsecar and Barnsley. Please reconsider	
241				139/140	141/142							Better service for me	Better service for me			Rotherham Sound and Vision
242			138								Better frequency for Bents Road turning circle					[Removed for GDPR]
243				139/140								Running via Lapwater Road is better than Town Lane as it is easier to cross the road. Town Lane is getting too busy to cross				[Removed for GDPR]
244	36		138	139/140					It will take some getting used to but hope it is more reliable.							[Removed for GDPR]
245				139/140			Other - Write In	227				Hopefully the frequency of this service will remain as at present		People in nether haugh and on cortworth lane will be isolated, pushed into car usage (if available). Using public transport surely is designed to decrease car usage? Please keep this service		
246						X78							X78 should return to South St Deepdale Road. My mother in law can no longer go to town because the crossing on meadowbank road is not lighted and too dangerous. The only lighted crossing near ferham is too far for her to walk. I assume many people living in Kimberworth will be disenfranchised by this new route. It's really sad that we can no longer meet in town and she's virtually housebound.			
248				139/140								I use these buses Monday to Friday to take my young son to Rockingham junior and infant school. I catch the 139 on ox close Avenue (not the bottom of) and get of on town lane! I then catch the 140 to return home in the morning. Then again in the afternoon. I would be unable to walk to the bottom and back up again under the proposed changes. They are unfair on people with disabilities. The time table is workable with school hours just not the missing out of large sections. How would I get my child to and from school			They are unacceptable on a whole and penalise those of us that rely on the service as it is	
249	36															I catch this bus every day Monday - Friday at 3.14 from the plough at Wingfield and get of the last stop on Kimberworth Park road. I pick my son up from school at 3pm and walk to the bus stop. I catch this bus as it's the most convenient after a busy day to get me home. Under the proposed changes I will not be able to get my son home from school due to the proposed route changes not to mention the timetable changes. It's so unfair on people that regularly use the service
250		135/136/137								I would like to see a Sunday service operated between 9:30 and 17:30 on the 136 to Hoyland to						

Consultation responses for the proposed changes in Kimberworth Park and surrounding areas

Response ID	Service 36	Service 135/136/137	Service 138	Service 139/140	Service 141/142	Service X78	Other	Other Service	Feedback 36	Feedback 135/136/137	Feedback 138	Feedback 139/140	Feedback 141/142	Feedback X78	Feedback Other	General feedback
252				139/140								As i see it there won't be a service that goes up Main St and Church St Greasbrough this is not good enough. It makes things difficult for people not everyone is fit enough to walk a long way to catch a bus, any older person at the moment wanting to socialise for instance in the village at pubs, clubs and the church can catch the bus , but if the changes go ahead these trips will end and that's not good. Rotherham is trying to get people back into the town centre to help business but they need a decent transport service to do this. I myself am fit enough to walk but a lot of people are not, especially when carrying shopping bags. A reduced service would be better than no service in this area at all, think of ALL the community please.				
253					141/142									by re routing this service, it would mean i have to walk along way with shopping, walk through a rough council estate on dark nights on my way home from work as there is no bus service where i live on Broom Riddings. I am shocked that you are taking the current route off. how are public transport users supposed to get out and about and do normal things like food shopping and then have a long long walk home all uphill.		think the proposal is unfair and unrealistic. how do people who live in remote area eg Broom Riddings Greasbrough easily access a bus service/route without having to walk a long way, never mind when its bad weather and dark nights.
257		135/136/137	138							The 137 is not a good service currently and as the stop locations are poor and also they do not turn up regularly.	The 138 should continue the current route on old Wortley otherwise they will miss our park of Kimberworth out completely leaving us stranded.					
260		135/136/137								I think there needs to be a Sunday service between 9.30 and 17.30 on service 136						
261		135/136/137								The service is not appropriate for people living in Greasbrough travelling towards Wentworth and Elsecar. Removal of the 227 service will cause severe disruption to our day to day lives. We feel as though we are been penalised for not driving.						
262		135/136/137								135- Travel between Thorpe Hesley and Rotherham during rush hour are either extremely late or a 'no show'. I understand why but why persist with a service at this time of day. A shorter journey from Chapeltown or Thorpe would surely be more reliable to Rotherham.						
266		135/136/137								Like the new route via Wentworth but the lack of evening and Sunday services will cause problems for people who rely on the buses.						People who rely on buses will be stuck in the evenings and on Sundays.
267						X78								no service on Pitt Street / Deepdale Road / South Street - people with a disability have to cross the road on the way back from Rotherham town centre.		no service on Pitt Street / Deepdale Road / South Street - people with a disability have to cross the road on the way back from Rotherham town centre.
270			139/140		141/142							There is no change to the route which which would affect me. I just wish the reliability was improved	There is no change to the route which would affect me. I just wish the reliability was improved			I wish the Bus shelter opposite Fenton Croft/Fenton Fields (serviced by 140/1420 had an electronic timetable facility. Other than that the changes will not affect me
271		138	139/140								Why stop the 138 going round Kimberworth. There's a lot of school children from Kimberworth Park who use the 138 to get to & from Winterhills. It seems you couldn't care less about your customers.	Why stop 139/140 using Ox Close Avenue & Kimberworth Park Road. Its seems you couldn't care less about the top of Kimberworth Park. If you can't run a proper service give it to someone who can.				Why when we all know you don't take any notice anyway. You run an atrocious service & don't do anything to improve it.
272		135/136/137								Service 135 (and in it's previous numbered 85,66 bus routes) is a complete and utter disgrace. It turns up mostly late or never at all. You cannot rely on this bus service and never have been able to. The TM Travel service is usually ok and slightly more reliable, but the 135 First service is the worst bus service I have ever known. Just as an example, I have been waiting for it tonight (3/12/18) for an hour and a half....3 buses were missing and when the bus is one an hour it's no joke at all. I was hypotheemic. The buses on this bus route are always breaking down as they are that old...and this bus route isn't deemed to need half decent reliable buses so First bus company always use old buses.						
276		135/136/137								Replacing the 227 route with the 136 route is unacceptable for the following reasons: Having the first bus in the morning arrive at Wentworth later will mean my partner will not be able to get to work on time. The last bus in the evening leaving Rotherham at 17:45 will mean my partner will not be able to get home. Anyone who is out past this ridiculously early time will then be stranded in Rotherham and have to pay for a taxi to get home. Those who live in Greasbrough, Nether Haugh and on Cortworth Lane will no longer be able to get to Wentworth or Hoyland. Similarly, nobody from Wentworth or Hoyland will be able to get to those places without two buses. I am concerned about the people who live on Cortworth Lane who will be 100% trapped and without any bus service whatsoever. People who live in Wentworth will not be able to reach their doctor's surgery in Greasbrough. As a business owner in Wentworth I am concerned for what impacts on football from those in Greasbrough, Nether Haugh and Cortworth Lane will be. For the reasons outlined above and for many more issues that may affect my fellow villagers I must implore Travel South Yorkshire to rethink the proposed changes.						
277		135/136/137								does the 135 replace the 227 and goes wentworth elsecar and hoyland if so fine for me however a lot do use it in the bottom of greasborough I hope the other kimberworth park alterations takes in account for that the alteration would help my sister going to her daughters at elsecar as she lives at kimberworth so overall fine						all out in previous boxes
278		135/136/137								If you go through with these changes I will not be able to get to work on time as I rely on the current bus times to do so.						
279		135/136/137								I live on Cortworth Lane, Wentworth and if you get rid of the 227 I will be stranded in my home unless I pay for taxis which I cannot afford. I do not drive and rely on the 227 to get me to town so I can attend regular hospital appointments. As an elderly, disabled person I think it is disgusting that these changes to our local bus service have even been considered. Please leave it how it is!						Don't change the 227!
280							Other - Write In	227								Request for the 227 to remain on the same route.
281		135/136/137								This new route reduces our local Hoyland/Elsecar towards Rotherham bus service to virtually nothing. I take the early bus to get to work and will now not be able to use the bus as the first bus is too late. Lack of Sunday service is unacceptable as there is no alternative. Early evening finish back from Rotherham is also not acceptable.						The change in this route now doubles the journey time.
283		135/136/137								The 135/136 proposals don't meet current need. They segregate parts of the village of Thorpe Hesley, meaning that people who live in one part of the village can't access the services.						There is a general discontent about the treatment of bus passengers on this route. A direct bus to and from Meadowhall would be a great improvement.
284			139/140		141/142							Buses not going up College road there is a large population of older people living there having to walk from new Wortley road will cause great hardship for many people you will be cutting off lots of people	same as my comments for 139/141			this part doesn't apply to me just on College road
285			139/140									The proposed route change would make it more difficult/less convenient for customers to get to the shops on St John's Green. The Council is in the process of developing this area and removing the bus would reduce the number of customers using this area. I own a shop on St John's Green and a large number of my customers rely on this bus service to use my shop. Therefore the proposed route change would be damaging to my business				
286			139/140		141/142							As someone who lives beyond the top of Ox Close Avenue, this route is essential when I return home with my shopping from the supermarket.	I often have to use this service when 139/140 miss a scheduled bus.			I do not agree that having routes 139/140 follow the same route as 141/142 through Kimberworth Park is beneficial especially for those with reduced mobility as the hilly paths are treacherous in winter months. The changes look good but given the current state of the X78 service with major issues with punctuality, reliability and safety I doubt we'll really see a difference.
287						X78										The changes look good but given the current state of the X78 service with major issues with punctuality, reliability and safety I doubt we'll really see a difference.
291		135/136/137					Other - Write In	66		We need a reliable service, some are going the wrong route and some are not turning up at all				66 and any other bus service that goes from and too Thorpe Hesley are not turning up or taking the wrong route - they should be a service to meadowhall from Thorpe Hesley since it is only one junction away. I am having to travel into Rotherham to catch a bus out to Meadowhall....		We need a reliable service and not keep being let down. Smaller buses more often
292	36	135/136/137	138	139/140	141/142	X78			Don't extend this extend into Rotherham or expand it in any way. It's one of those long, winding Sheffield to parts of Rotherham services which cannot keep to time if there's any hold-ups.	Keep the 227 and 66. The proposed 136 runs on the same route as the 44 from Thorpe Hesley to Elsecar. Don't bring in the 136. Leave the 137 as it is. Run the 135 up Wortley Road to improve punctuality.	Don't extend it to Town Lane. Leave it on Midland Road then re-route it up Kimberworth Road to join the 137 at The Wilton cross roads.	If you've got to reduce frequency, make them 2 per hour but don't change the route. The proposals show only the 36 going on Ox Close Avenue but there are well used stops to and from Rotherham. Run them up Wortley Road to improve punctuality.	If you've got to reduce frequency, make them 2 per hour. Don't change the route. Run them on Wortley Road to improve punctuality.	Increasing to 5 per hour is good as long as it doesn't further reduce their punctuality. Run 2 per hour as limited stop from Doncaster to Sheffield, not through Conisbrough village; 2 as now but only Doncaster to Meadowhall; 1 per hour Doncaster to Meadowhall via South Street, Kimberworth. The slower ones will need different numbers.	Stop messing about with routes, times and numbers. Since First closed Midland Road bus garage their services have got worse and worse: absent buses, bad punctuality, old buses reappearing. Get rid of First.	

Consultation responses for the proposed changes in Kimberworth Park and surrounding areas

Response ID	Service 36	Service 135/136/137	Service 138	Service 139/140	Service 141/142	Service X78	Other	Other Service	Feedback 36	Feedback 135/136/137	Feedback 138	Feedback 139/140	Feedback 141/142	Feedback X78	Feedback Other	General feedback
294				139/140								Customer requesting that service 139/140 still serve Whitehall Road Rockingham and into the Rockingham Estate.				
295		135/136/137								Requesting 136 to serve Nether Hague and Greasbrough.						
296		135/136/137								Request for service 136 to serve Nether Hague and Greasbrough.						
297																The new 136 service replaces the TM Travel 227 and I was wondering what provision you are making for those of us who catch the 227 from Elsecar at 07.53 as the first 136 service leaves Hoyland during the week at 8.21?
298						X78								We would prefer the x78 to be reintroduced to Pit Street, South Street and Deepdale Road		
299						X78								Increased frequency is definitely needed, so are the larger buses, frequently at busy times there is a small capacity single decker which always gets overcrowded specially at peak times 4-6 pm in some cases due to potential X1 passengers getting on because their bus is missing or because the are 1-2 x78's missing and their passengers are also on board. Overcrowding makes for the most unpleasant journey home and as the x78 is the ONLY bus that serves Meadow Bank Road right now I have no choice to use it.		
302		135/136/137					Other - Write In	227		My daughter attends Thomas Rotherham College. As the first bus from Wentworth won't arrive in Rotherham Town Centre until 8.45am under the proposed changes, she will not be able to be sat in class at 9am when her lessons start. Also please see statement underneath about the 227 as this new service does not cater for the needs of people in Wentworth.					By scrapping the 227 and not providing a service from Wentworth via Greasbrough to Rotherham you put us in a very difficult situation. Our doctors (as many people's doctors are in Wentworth) are at Greenide Surgery at Greasbrough. In order to go the doctors, we will have to catch a bus into Rotherham, out to Greasbrough and then back to Rotherham and then on to Wentworth returning. Greasbrough is 5 minutes from Wentworth on the bus. Think also about the number of elderly people whom this will affect.	You are only partially replacing the Wentworth service, cutting out access to all people who live in the estate rent who don't live in the village. From Nether Haugh to Greasbrough you will make it difficult for people to get to work and also access Wentworth at a time when the Woodhouse is opening for business to create more jobs. Not providing a service from Wentworth before 8.15am is also ludicrous. How can people be expected to get to work and college on time? You are cutting communities off and dividing them with this new proposal.
305		135/136/137	138	139/140		X78			The loss of the 66 means a further worsening of services in the Wortley Road corridor. the more direct link between Thorpe Hesley should be retained.	The proposed extension to Beckett Crescent is not a satisfactory alternative to the existing 139/140 as it would not link this part of the estate with the eastern (Ring O'Belts end). The proposed change after Toll Bar on Wortley Road would leave only one bus an hour from Kimberworth Park to the neighbouring Kimberworth area and it would be more difficult to get to the Woodstock Bower surgery and the Ferham Children's Centre (which serves Kimberworth Park)	The long hill up Ociose Avenue is too much for many old people and I know of some who had to stop using local facilities on which they depended when the 139 was withdrawn previously. That change also hit the local centre. The public transport routes should serve the estate's centre and not bypass it. The proposed extension of the 138 would not be an adequate replacement (see comments on that service). Avoiding Midland Road would mean a longer walk to Woodstock Bower surgery for people who may not be fit for it.	This route should continue to serve Ociose Avenue and Kimberworth Park Road. It is needed to link large parts of the lower estate with the shopping centre at St John's Green, the local post office, the doctors' and dentists' surgeries, the TABA centre, St John's church, the Chislett Community Centre, the Black Hut and Redcrose School. The long hill up Ociose Avenue is too much for many old people and I know of some who had to stop using local facilities on which they depended when the 139 was withdrawn previously. That change also hit the local centre. The public transport routes should serve the estate's centre and not bypass it. The proposed extension of the 138 would not be an adequate replacement (see comments on that service). Avoiding Midland Road would mean a longer walk to Woodstock Bower surgery for people who may not be fit for it.	I'm not sure that the extra bus per hour would make a perceptible difference. The problem with this route is the unreliability of services (delays, cancellations and clumping of services) and this would apply to the additional service as well. It seems odd to be adding more services in the Sheffield/ Rotherham corridor when the tram-train has just added three more services in each direction and services elsewhere are under threat.		The current routes have largely evolved over a long period and meet local needs. The proposals seem to have overlooked the physical relief of the Kimberworth Park estate, the need to serve the estate's centre and the requirements for access by potentially disadvantaged groups to a range of community and medical facilities. Many local people were unaware of the changes until the Community Partnership began a petition. Notices about the consultation appeared late at bus stops and then not in locations that would be most affected.	
307						X78										
308			139/140		141/142							If, as suggested by your 'map', these are no longer catering to the College Road area in Masbrough, I think this is extremely poor service as an entire area will be closed off - an area that contains a high number of elderly and less mobile people who rely on the frequency and closeness of these buses to complete some very basic shopping / town access. I personally know several people who have been severely inconvenienced when road works / diversions have stopped buses for even a short while, as the dual carriageway is simply too far for them to access - especially trying to carry anything back, or having to use the bridge to actually get to the town bus stop in the first place.	If, as suggested by your 'map', these are no longer catering to the College Road area in Masbrough, I think this is extremely poor service as an entire area will be closed off - an area that contains a high number of elderly and less mobile people who rely on the frequency and closeness of these buses to complete some very basic shopping / town access. I personally know several people who have been severely inconvenienced when road works / diversions have stopped buses for even a short while, as the dual carriageway is simply too far for them to access - especially trying to carry anything back, or having to use the bridge to actually get to the town bus stop in the first place.			
309		135/136/137								I use the 227 bus everyday to work on the 7o'clock bus we need this bus as I don't drive and have to be at work for 7.30.						
310							Other - Write In	227								The loss of the route along Cartworth lane in Nether Hague will leave me completely stranded and elderly in Wentworthwith a huge journey into Guisborough to their doctors surgery. Reduce the G2 Hoyland/Barnsley services and keep the 227 route as it is.
311		135/136/137							Very pleased to learn that the 135 is to include Chapelfield road. But shocked to see that evening service 135/136 will finish so early and no Sunday service. People need to visit relatives in hospital, friends and family in Sheffield - not everyone in Thorpe Hesley has a car.							
312		135/136/137		139/140		X78			I think removing the 227 and replacing it with the 136 is disgusting you say you doing it to enhance employment corridor services, whilst I want to know what about all people who live in greasborough and work at Wentworth garden centre, what should be a simple 10 minute journey to work will become a 40 minute or more. That is if they even run to time. These villages only have 1 bus an hour and would it hurt to leave them alone.		The proposed changes to 140 route probably wouldn't affect me that much, but the times might because most of the time we only get 2 buses an hour anyway, so when you change them we will be lucky to get 1 an hour. It is alright to saying that the 138 will cover some parts of the network with increased frequency that that is no good what so ever if you live in Rockingham or Greasbro.		Don't even get me started on the rumours that buses will be stopping at OPM, you don't care about your customers at all. The reason you doing all this is because you have closed the bus depot in Rotherham, and you can't get enough drivers, because no one wants to travel back from Sheffield late at night and early morning.			
313							Other - Write In	227								I am writing to express my point of view regarding the changing of the 227 bus service which I feel doesn't need changing at all. The 7am early bus would be acceptable only if the route is Hoyland, King at turning left into Cobcar street, then right into Cobcar lane, onto Wath road and onto Waterleaze up into Main st, Wentworth (the same route as it takes now as 227). The map and timetable you have sent doesn't show the route from Hoyland to Main street. This is also providing the bus turns up regularly and on time as the 227 service we have now does and has since TM travel have run it. There are between 8 and 10 of us who catch the early bus Monday to Friday, we are all employed in and around Rotherham and have been for over 10 years, we need this 7am bus in order to get to work on time and there is no alternative bus service for us, and we can't start work and hour later each day. I understand this is only a proposed alteration at the moment and would appreciate clarification on the route from Hoyland to Wentworth for the early bus, if you could let me know as soon as possible any definite arrangements that First will be doing. I have been told that this is a rural route the service has to run early morning. I await your reply.
314				139/140			Other - Write In	227				Less frequency, more limited mobility.				Removing the 227 bus service from Nether Haugh would affect me and my parents greatly, we use it everyday and it is the only access we have to Rotherham or anywhere. Yes it is a remote rural area but surely people should be given a bus service? It is often frequented by locals, students and even people who rely on it to get to work. In general I would say it gets enough passengers to justify its continuation! Nether Haugh not "Hague" as stated on those forms seems to be overlooked and if so, see it from our perspective. In Nether Haugh there is only the one bus stop in a small hamlet but it is a lifeline for us 3, we can't understand how a bus service we have always had be so cruelly taken away. I myself have epilepsy and my parents are in their late 70's. None of us can drive for obvious reasons. The bus 227 is only one an hour anyway but to get to the next bus stop into town we would have to walk 30minutes to get to the nearest bus stop in Greasborough. The buses from there are every 20 minutes (the 139 only). If that bus has less frequency then we are seriously limited in our mobility. To waqk 30minutes to Greasborough then get off there and face another 30minute slog up a steep slope to Nether Haugh, with the upcoming potentially treacherous conditions on the pavements especially down the sides of Nether Haugh house opposite the bus shelter with an unswept pile of leaves which will become even more sluggy and icy as the weather becomes worse. With all 3 of us having struggles with long walks and health problems that can be worsened by them, we feel it is most unfair and unjust. Again we all use the 227 every day mainly the 10.22, but also some times the 11.22, whether all 3 of us or separately. recently I have been going to appointments of importance at hospital which have been difficult to judge the timing of already and I don't think any of us relish the thought of a 30 minute walk each way in darkness in a remote area. There is an old lady who lives on her own along Cartworth lane, which would also be affected, she has said that if this cut was to happen then she would have to move. There are numerous regulars, who would suffer like ourselves. I assume you all own a car? Then imagine what a situation we are in, the not on to you but a luxury / need to us. And they say we should all give our cars for environmental reasons. Ironically I have just started to suffer from a poorly left foot which makes it difficult for me to walk very well. If I can make a suggestion, I have seen the Barnsley 22X going through Neter Haugh once and also once coming down to Cinder Bridge road which started me, maybe a practice run. Which I think would be a good route! On that 22X going through Brampton I noticed it goes very near to Cartworth lane, junction.
315	36								This service is a complete shambles. Certain times at 4.00 onwards, it persistently late and always seem to be cancelled. The route is too long hitting rush hour traffic and has a knock on effect. I have to get from Sheffield City Centre to Meadowhall. I finish work, like many others at 5.30, having to arrive at Meadowhall for 6.04 impossible. This service should be at least ten minutes late to allow for this. How do you expect to get people on to public transport when buses are not connecting for busy times.							
316				139/140								I have shown this map to a lot of people and they all have said the same, what about Rockingham, Whitehill Road. We can't walk to the other side of the road, Town Lane or Church Street it is a busy road. Also it is not a very good to look at.				